

# GALOIS THEORY FOR A CLASS OF COMPLETE MODULAR LATTICES

ALEXANDRE A. PANIN

*Department of Mathematics and Mechanics  
St. Petersburg State University  
2 Bibliotechnaya square,  
St. Petersburg 198904, Russia*

**ABSTRACT.** We construct Galois theory for sublattices of certain complete modular lattices and their automorphism groups. A well-known description of the intermediate subgroups of the general linear group over a semilocal ring containing the group of diagonal matrices, due to Z.I. Borewicz and N.A. Vavilov, can be obtained as a consequence of this theory. Bibliography: 3 titles.

## INTRODUCTION

We generalize here the results of [PY], [S]. Namely, Galois theory for a class of complete modular lattices is constructed.

By an automorphism of a complete lattice we mean hereafter a bijective mapping of the lattice onto itself which commutes with the supremum and infimum of every subset of the lattice. Other notions and definitions are introduced in [PY].

## FORMULATION OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let  $L$  be a complete modular lattice,  $L_0$  its finite sublattice, which is a Boolean algebra,  $G$  a subgroup of the group of all automorphisms of the lattice  $L$ ,  $H = G(L_0)$ .

Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  be the atoms of  $L_0$ . We consider a number of additional conditions (it is supposed that, unless otherwise stated, the indices are changing from 1 to  $n$ ):

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11H56, 20E15, 20G15, 20G35, 03G10, 20E07.

*Key words and phrases.* Modular lattices, automorphism groups of lattices, distribution of subgroups, linear algebraic groups.

- 1<sup>0</sup>.  $0_{L_0} = 0_L$ ,  $1_{L_0} = 1_L$ .
- 2<sup>0</sup>. If  $f \in G$  and  $f(e_i) + \widehat{e}_j = 1$  for some  $i, j$ , then  $f(e_i) \cdot \widehat{e}_j = 0$ .
- 3<sup>0</sup>. If  $a \in G$  and  $[a(e_i)]_i = e_i$  for some  $i$ , then there exists  $h \in H_i$  such that  $[ha(x_i)]_i = [ah(x_i)]_i = x_i$  for every  $x_i \leq e_i$ .
- 4<sup>0</sup>. There exists  $h \in H_t \cap G(\overline{L}_0)$  such that  $[aha^{-1}(x_i)]_r = [a([a^{-1}(x_i)]_t)]_r$  for every  $a \in G$ ,  $r \neq i$ ,  $x_i \leq e_i$ .
- 5<sup>0</sup>. Let  $u \in \overline{L}_0$ ,  $u \geq e_i$  for some  $i$ ; let  $g \in G$ ,  $[g(u)]_i = e_i$ . Then there exists  $t \in G$  such that:
- 1)  $[gt(e_i)]_i = e_i$ ,
  - 2)  $t(e_s) = e_s$  for  $s \neq i$ ,
  - 3)  $[t(e_i)]_j \leq [u]_j$ .
- 6<sup>0</sup>. If  $f, g \in G$  and  $[f(e_i)]_j \leq [g(e_i)]_j$  for some  $i, j$ , then  $[f(x)]_j \leq [g(x)]_j$  for every  $x \in L'_0$ ,  $x \leq e_i$ .
- 7<sup>0</sup>. If  $u \leq e_j$  for some  $j$ , then for every  $i \neq j$  there exist  $y_\alpha \leq e_j, \alpha \in I$  such that  $u = \sum_{\alpha \in I} y_\alpha$  and  $H_{ij}(y_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ .
- 8<sup>0</sup>. If  $x = [f(e_i)]_j$  for some  $f \in G$ ,  $i \neq j$ , then there exists  $g \in H_{ij}(x)$  such that  $[g(u)]_j = [f(u)]_j$  for every  $u \leq e_i$ .
- 9<sup>0</sup>. If  $w \in L$ ,  $[w] = (0, \dots, e_i, \dots, x, \dots, 0)$ , where  $w \cdot e_j = 0$  and  $H_{ij}(x) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $t \in H_{ij}(x)$  such that  $t(w) = e_i$ .
- 10<sup>0</sup>. Let  $a_\alpha \in H_{ij}(x_\alpha)$  and  $y \leq \sum_{\alpha \in I} x_\alpha$  be such that  $H_{ij}(y) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $H_{ij}(y) \subseteq \langle H, a_\alpha : \alpha \in I \rangle$ .
- 11<sup>0</sup>. If  $a \in G$ , then for every  $i \neq j$  and every  $h \in H_t$  the set  $H_{ij}([aha^{-1}(e_i)]_j) \cap \langle a, H \rangle$  is not empty.
- 12<sup>0</sup>.  $L'_0 \subseteq \overline{L}_0$ .
- 13<sup>0</sup>. For every  $x \leq e_i$ ,  $x \neq e_i$  there exists a coatom  $y \leq e_i$  such that  $x \leq y$ .
- We denote  $w_i = \prod_{x \text{ is a coatom in } e_i} x$  for every  $i$  and  $w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$ .
- 14<sup>0</sup>. The lattice  $L^w = \{x \in L : x \geq w\}$  is of finite length.
- We denote  $G^w = \{g \in G : g \text{ is identical on } L^w\}$ .
- 15<sup>0</sup>. Let  $t \in H_{ij}(x)$ ,  $x \leq w_j$ . Then there exists  $h \in H$  such that  $th \in G^w$ .
- 16<sup>0</sup>. The lattice  $L^w \cap \overline{L}_0(H)$  is finite.

**Theorem 1.** Assuming that the conditions 1<sup>0</sup> – 12<sup>0</sup> are fulfilled, for every subgroup  $F \geq H$  of group  $G$ :

- (i)  $\sigma = \sigma(F)$  is a net collection in  $L'_0$ ;
- (ii)  $G(K_\sigma) \trianglelefteq F$ ;
- (iii) if  $M$  is a sublattice of  $L'_0$  such that  $G(M) \trianglelefteq F$ , then  $G(M) = G(K_\sigma)$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\tau = (\tau_{ij})$  be a net collection in  $L'_0$ ,  $g \in G$ . Provided that the conditions  $1^0 - 11^0$ ,  $13^0 - 16^0$  are fulfilled, we have:

- (i) if  $[g(e_i)]_j \leq \tau_{ij}$  for every  $i, j$ , then  $g \in G(K_\tau)$ ;
- (ii) the index of  $G(K_\tau)$  in its normalizer is finite.

#### PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of the parts (i)–(iii) of Theorem 1 is analogous to the proof of the corresponding assertions of [PY]. We note that instead of properties of the dimension function on  $L$  used in [PY], one must apply the modularity law and the following trivial statement:

If  $A \subseteq G$ , where  $A^{-1} = A$  and  $a(x) \leq x$  for every  $a \in A$  and some  $x \in L$ , then  $a(x) = x$  for every  $a \in A$ .

Proof of Theorem 2 will be presented below.

**Lemma 1.** For every  $f \in G$   $f(w) = w$ .

**Proof.** It is sufficient to check  $[f(w_i)]_j \leq w_j$  for every  $i, j$ .

It is clear that  $w \in L'_0$ , therefore for  $i = j$  it is just the condition  $6^0$ .

Let  $i \neq j$ ,  $g \in H_{ij}(e_j)$ . By the condition  $9^0$  there exists  $t \in H_{ji}(e_i)$  such that  $tg(e_i) = e_j$ . Consider an arbitrary coatom  $y$  in  $e_j$ . Then  $(tg)^{-1}(y)$  is a coatom in  $e_i$ , therefore  $tg(w_i) \leq y$ , whence  $[g(w_i)]_j \leq w_j$ . Now it remains to apply the condition  $6^0$ .

Suppose  $\tau = (\tau_{ij})$  is a net collection in  $L'_0$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\rho_{ij} = \tau_{ij} + w_j$ . Then:

- (i)  $\rho = (\rho_{ij})$  is a net collection in  $L'_0$ ;
- (ii)  $G(K_\rho) = G(K_\tau) \cdot G^w$ .

**Proof.** Let  $i, j, k$  be pairwise distinct, and let  $g \in H_{ij}(x)$ ,  $x \leq \tau_{ij} + w_j$ .

By the conditions  $7^0$  and  $10^0$   $g \in \langle H, H_{ij}(y), H_{ij}(z) : y \leq \tau_{ij}, z \leq w_j \rangle$ .

If  $f \in H_{ij}(y)$ , where  $y \leq \tau_{ij}$ , then  $[f(\tau_{ki} + w_i)]_j \leq \tau_{kj} + w_j$  by Lemma 1. If  $f \in H_{ij}(z)$ , where  $z \leq w_j$ , then  $[f(\tau_{ki} + w_i)]_j \leq w_j$ .

(i) Apply the condition  $8^0$ .

(ii) The inclusion  $\supseteq$  is trivial. Further, by the condition  $15^0$  and by Theorem 7.2 [PY]  $G(K_\rho) \subseteq \langle G(K_\tau), G^w \rangle$ . It remains to note that  $G^w \trianglelefteq G$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2(i).**

A. First, suppose that  $\tau_{ij} \leq w_j$  for every  $i \neq j$ . Since  $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n g(e_i)$ , we have  $e_1 = [g(e_1)]_1 + w_1$ . By the condition  $13^0$   $[g(e_1)]_1 = e_1$ . Repeating the proof of Theorem 7.2 [PY], we obtain  $g \in \langle H, H_{ij}(x) : x \leq \tau_{ij} \rangle \leq G(K_\tau)$ .

B. General case. We put  $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{ij}$ . By the definition of a net collection we have  $g(x_i) \leq x_i$ . Further, it follows from Lemma 1 that  $g(x_i + w) \leq x_i + w$ ,

and since the restriction of  $g$  to  $L^w$  is an automorphism of this lattice, we have  $g(x_i + w) = x_i + w$  by the condition 14<sup>0</sup>. Thus  $g \in G(K_\rho)$ .

It follows from Lemma 2 that  $g = g_1 g_2$ , where  $g_1 \in G(K_\tau)$ ,  $g_2 \in G^w$ . Since  $g_2(x_i) \leq x_i$ , then  $[g_2(e_i)]_j \leq \tau_{ij} \cdot w_j$  for every  $i \neq j$ . We have already proved in the part A that  $[g_2^{-1}(e_i)]_j \leq \tau_{ij} \cdot w_j$  for every  $i \neq j$ , therefore  $g_2 \in G(K_\tau)$ .

Proof of the part (ii) is conceptually identical with the constructions of § 7 of the article [BV].

As in [PY], a complete description of subgroups of the general linear group over a semilocal ring (whose fields of residues have at least seven elements, see [BV]), containing the group of diagonal matrices, can be deduced from Theorems 1 and 2.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Professor Anatoly V. Yakovlev for the formulation of the problem and useful discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- [BV] Borewicz Z.I., Vavilov N.A., *Subgroups of the full linear group over a semilocal ring containing the group of diagonal matrices*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. (1980), no. 4, 41–54.
- [PY] Panin A.A., Yakovlev A.V., *Galois theory for a class of modular lattices*, Zap. Nauchn. Semin. POMI **236** (1997), 133–148 (In Russian, English transl. to appear in J. Math. Sci.).
- [S] Simonian A.Z., *Galois theory for modular lattices*, Ph.D. thesis, St.Petersburg State University, 1992, pp. 1–73 (In Russian).

E-MAIL ADDRESS: alex@ap2707.spb.edu